Attorney's Docket No.: 384848014US PATENT

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first, and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled <u>HIGH DENSITY SEMICONDUCTOR MEMORY CELL AND MEMORY ARRAY USING A SINGLE TRANSISTOR AND HAVING COUNTER-DOPED POLY AND BURIED DIFFUSION WORDLINE</u>, the specification of which is attached hereto.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claim(s), as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(a)-(d), of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Dalania.

Prior Foreign Application(s)			Claimed	
Number	Country	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No
Number	Country	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No
Number	Country	(Foreign Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY)	Yes	No
hereby claim the benefit upplication(s) listed below:	nder Title 35, United Star	tes Code, Section 119(e) of any Unit	ed States p	rovisio
Application Number	(Filing Date	(Filing Date – MM/DD/YYYY)		
Application Number	(Filing Date	- MM/DD/YYYY)		

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application: (Filing Date - MM/DD/YYYY) Status - patented, Application Number pending, abandoned (Fiting Date - MM/DD/YYYY) Status - patented, Application Number pending, abandoned I hereby appoint the persons listed on Appendix A hereto (which is incorporated by reference and a part of this document) as my respective patent attorneys and patent agents, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith. Perkins Coie LLP, Patent - SEA, P.O. Send correspondence to Chun M. Ng Box 1247, Seattle WA 98111-1247 and direct telephone calls to Chun M. Ng I bereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon. Full Name of Sole/First Inventor Date Inventor's Signature

Citizenship US

(Country)

Residence San Jose, California

Post Office Address 1539 Eddington Place

(City, State)

San Jose, California 95129

APPENDIX A

STEPHEN E. ARNETT, Registration No. 47,392 RODGER K. CARREYN, Registration No. 50,774 BRIAN R. COLEMAN, Registration No. 39,145 CHRISTOPHER DALEY-WATSON, Registration No. 34,807 PETER J. DEHLINGER, Registration No. 28,006 DAVID BOGART DORT, Registration No. 50,213 DAVID T. DUTCHER, Registration No. 51,638 LEEANN GORTHEY, Registration No. 37,337 JOSEPH HAMILTON, Registration No. 51,770 PAUL L. HICKMAN, Registration No. 28,516 EDWARD S. HOTCHKISS, Registration No. 33,904 AARON J. POLEDNA, Registration No. 54,675 STEVEN KELLEY, Registration No. 43,449 JONATHAN P. KUDLA, Registration No. 47,724 STEVEN D. LAWRENZ, Registration No. 37,376 JACQUELINE F. MAHONEY, Registration No. 48,390 SHAILESH MEHRA, Registration No. 44,934 JUDY M. MOHR, Registration No. 38,563 CHUN M. NG, Registration No. 36,878 NGUYEN H. NGUYEN, Registration No. 43,834 REBEKKA C. NOLL, Registration No. 46,962 KENNETH H. OHRINER, Registration No. 31,646 PAUL T. PARKER, Registration No. 38,264 MAURICE J. PIRIO, Registration No. 33,273 TIM R. SEELEY, Registration No. 53,575 LAUREN SLIGER, Registration No. 51,086 CARINA M. TAN, Registration No. 45,769 LARRY W. THROWER, Registration No. 47,994 GLENN E. VON TERSCH, Registration No. 41,364 JOHN M. WECHKIN, Registration No. 42,216 JAMES A.D. WHITE, Registration No. 43,985 MICHAEL J. WISE, Registration No. 34,047 ROBERT G. WOOLSTON, Registration No. 37,263 JAMES J. ZHU, Registration No. 52,396 all affiliated with Perkins Coie LLP.

APPENDIX B

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56

<u>Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability</u>

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facle case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.
- (e) In any continuation-in-part application, the duty under this section includes the duty to disclose to the Office all information known to the person to be material to patentability, as defined in paragraph (b) of this section, which became available between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of the continuation-in-part application.